

**REMARKS**

In the non-final Office Action dated September 28, 2007, claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101; and claims 1-14 were rejected under nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over Bhadkamkar et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,728,678).

In this amendment, claim 13 is amended. Claims 1-14 are pending.

Claim 13 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Without conceding the merits of this rejection, applicants have amended claim 13 to address the Examiner's concern. In particular, claim 13 has been amended to recite, inter alia, "A computer-readable medium carrying instructions for a method for synchronizing a set of video data to a set of audio data that is being played at a variable rate, the method comprising," and "outputting for display on a computing or display device the modified set of video data." Applicants respectfully submit that these amendments overcome the § 101 rejection. If further amendments are necessary, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner call the undersigned attorney so that agreement may be reached.


Claims 1-14 stand rejected under nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over Bhadkamkar. Without conceding the merits of this rejection, a Terminal Disclaimer is submitted herewith to overcome this rejection.

In view of the above amendment, applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or believes that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned attorney at (206) 359-3599.

Please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 345288016US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: 12/21/07

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Christopher J. Daley-Watson  
Registration No.: 34,807  
PERKINS COIE LLP  
P.O. Box 1247  
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247  
(206) 359-8000  
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant